LEARNING FOR KNOWLEDGE WORKERS

Why How You Learn Is the Most Important Skill You Have

David Mantica

VP & General Manager, SoftEd North America

A White Paper on Adult Learning Theory, Adaptive Growth, and the Cognitive Challenges Facing Today's Practitioners

Table of Contents

1. Introduction: Learning Is the Work
2. Why Learning Is a Delivery Skill
3. How Adults Actually Learn
4. The Four Pillars of Effective Training
5. The Adaptive Learning Zone
6. The Power of Unlearning
7. Mindset and Belief Change
8. Psychological Safety: The Precondition for Learning
9. Cognitive Challenges That Block Learning
10. Emotional Intelligence and Learning
11. Intellectual Curiosity: The Engine of Growth
12. Practical Implications for Knowledge Workers
13. Conclusion

References

1. Introduction: Learning Is the Work

Every project is a change initiative. Every change initiative requires people to learn. And yet, in most organizations, learning is treated as a side effect of delivery rather than the engine of it.

We invest heavily in methodologies, tools, and frameworks. We train people on systems and certifications. But we rarely step back and ask a more fundamental question: Do the people doing this work understand how they learn? And does the organization understand how to help them?

This white paper makes the case that learning is not a soft skill or an HR function. It is a core delivery capability for knowledge workers—project managers, business analysts, consultants, engineers, and anyone whose job involves processing information, solving problems, and adapting to change. The ability to learn quickly, unlearn what no longer serves you, and help others do the same is what separates good practitioners from great ones.

The ideas presented here draw on adult learning theory, adaptive leadership research, cognitive psychology, and real-world experience from project delivery, training, and organizational change. They are informed by work with practitioners across industries who share a common challenge: the world is changing faster than most professionals are equipped to keep up with.

2. Why Learning Is a Delivery Skill

In the project delivery world, we talk about scope, timelines, requirements, and adoption. What we rarely articulate is that every one of these activities involves a transfer of knowledge, a shift in understanding, or the development of a new skill. In short, they involve learning.

> *You want to drive success in your change? You're going to have to do it through people, and people are going to have to learn. If you can figure out better ways to help those people learn faster, effectively, on their terms, you'll be successful.*

The differentiation between how a change succeeds or fails often comes down to one thing: how well people learn what is new and unlearn some of what was. This is true whether you are rolling out a new enterprise system, restructuring a team, or simply asking people to follow a different process.

Consider what project delivery professionals actually do. Business analysts elicit requirements—which means they are learners. They must learn how subject matter experts think, how processes actually work on the ground, and where the real gaps are. Project managers navigate stakeholders through transitions—which means they must understand what it takes for people to move from resistance to adoption. In both cases, understanding how adults learn is not optional. It is the foundation of competence.

The Cost of Getting It Wrong

When organizations treat learning as an afterthought, the symptoms are predictable. People receive email after email explaining changes they do not understand. Training sessions check compliance boxes but do not build capability. Go-live dates arrive, and end users revert to workarounds because no one changed their beliefs about why the new process matters.

The root problem is a confusion between information transfer and genuine understanding. You can transfer knowledge all day long through written documentation and presentations. But if you need behavioral change—which is what most projects require—you need people to understand why, not just what. And understanding requires a fundamentally different approach than sending another email.

3. How Adults Actually Learn

Malcolm Knowles, widely regarded as the father of adult learning theory, introduced the concept of andragogy—the art and science of helping adults learn. His framework, developed through decades of research and practice, identifies six principles that distinguish adult learners from children.

Knowles' Six Principles of Andragogy

- Need to Know: Adults must understand why something matters before they invest effort in learning it. The deeper you can get into "why" effectively, the better the outcomes.
- Self-Direction: As people mature, they move from dependency toward self-direction. Adult learners want ownership over their learning journey, not to be told what to memorize.
- Experience: Adults bring a wealth of prior experience to every new learning situation. That experience is an asset—but it can also become a liability when it hardens into rigid assumptions.
- Readiness: Adults are most ready to learn when the content is directly relevant to their current role, challenges, or life situation.
- Problem-Centered Orientation: Adults prefer learning that helps them solve real problems rather than studying abstract topics organized by subject matter.
- Internal Motivation: While external incentives matter, the most powerful drivers of adult learning are internal—personal growth, professional mastery, and a sense of purpose.

These principles have direct implications for project delivery. When we ask a stakeholder group to adopt a new system, we are asking them to learn. If we skip the "why" and jump straight to the "how," we are violating the most basic principles of how adults process new information. The result is compliance without commitment—and compliance without commitment is fragile.

The Pyramid of Learning

Figure 1: The Pyramid of Learning — Retention rates by learning method

The learning pyramid, often attributed to the National Training Laboratories, illustrates that retention rates increase dramatically as learners move from passive to active methods. Reading and lecture produce the lowest retention, while teaching others and hands-on practice produce the highest.

In practice, this means that organizations who rely primarily on documentation and presentations to drive change are using the least effective methods available. The most effective approaches involve discussion, practice, and teaching—yet these are the methods most often cut when timelines get tight.

> *I think project people fail miserably as they try to drive understanding in email. If you're writing a three-paragraph email, you probably should stop and have a conversation. You are most likely trying to transfer some level of understanding, and understanding can only happen with some type of engagement.*

4. The Four Pillars of Effective Training

Any effective learning program rests on four interconnected pillars. When one is weak, the entire structure suffers.

Pillar	Role in Learning
Content	Curriculum, courseware, videos, and reference assets that frame what learners need to know. This is the information layer.
Instructor	Expert facilitation that guides discovery, answers questions, adapts to the room, and helps learners connect new ideas to their context.
Labs	Hands-on exercises and applied practice that turn knowledge into capability. Without practice, knowledge remains theoretical.
Peer Interaction	Discussion, collaboration, and shared experience that deepen retention and produce insight. Cognitive diversity drives better outcomes.

Most organizations over-index on content and under-invest in the other three. Content is the easiest pillar to scale—you write it once and distribute it widely. But content alone only delivers information and knowledge. To get to understanding, you need an instructor, coach, or mentor. To build actual skill, you need labs and diverse peer participation.

Types of Learning: A Progression

Not all learning is created equal. There is a natural progression from information to skill, and each level requires a different delivery mechanism:

- Information and Knowledge: Delivered through content—books, courseware, videos, reference materials. This is the foundation, but it is not sufficient for behavioral change.
- Understanding: Requires some form of instruction, coaching, or mentoring. An expert helps learners interpret material, ask questions, and connect ideas to their own context.
- Skill and Techniques: Requires labs plus diverse peer participation. True capability is built through hands-on practice with peers from varied backgrounds who bring different perspectives.

The critical mistake is treating information transfer as if it were understanding. You can email someone the new procedure, but until they have engaged with it—asked questions, practiced it,

applied it to their situation—you have not created understanding. And without understanding, you will not get lasting behavioral change.

5. The Adaptive Learning Zone

Ronald Heifetz and Marty Linsky, through their work at Harvard Kennedy School, introduced the concept of adaptive leadership—a framework for leading through challenges that resist technical solutions. Their research has profound implications for how we think about learning in organizations.

Regulating Tension for Learning

Heifetz and Linsky describe a zone of productive distress—a sweet spot between complacency and overwhelm where real learning and adaptation occur. The model is intuitive: if people are too comfortable, they avoid the work. If they are too stressed, they shut down. The leader's job is to regulate the tension to keep people in the productive zone.

> *You can't learn anything when you're in the red zone, and you can't learn anything in the yellow zone. Both are negative emotional states. The red zone is high anxiety. The yellow zone is a bit of depression—your brain is not stimulated.*

This maps directly to project delivery. When a team is in the work avoidance zone, they are not motivated to change. Perhaps the current system works well enough, or the pain of the future state seems worse than the pain of the status quo. In this scenario, you need to increase the heat—give the problem to the system, surface conflicts, seek dissenting perspectives.

When a team is in the too-hot zone, they are overwhelmed. The pace of change is too fast, the complexity too high, or the psychological safety too low. Here, you need to decrease the heat—address technical aspects first, employ structure, slow down the process temporarily.

Tactics for Staying in the Learning Zone

Increase Heat	Decrease Heat
Give more responsibility — give the problem to the system	Address technical aspects first
Bring conflict to the surface	Employ structure and process
Protect dissenting voices	Reclaim responsibility temporarily
Seek other perspectives	Slow down the pace

The art of supporting someone through change is knowing when to push and when to pull back. As Heifetz and Linsky note, adaptive work generates productive distress that motivates rather

than paralyzes. The holding environment—the container of relationships, norms, and trust—is what allows people to tolerate that distress long enough to learn and change.

6. The Power of Unlearning

Barry O'Reilly and Stephen Dowling argue that unlearning is as important as learning itself. In a world of accelerating change, the knowledge and practices that made you successful yesterday may be precisely what holds you back tomorrow.

> *What has made you successful in the past does not promise to continue to bring you success in the future.*

The metaphor is simple: think of a water bottle getting overfilled. New information cannot enter until old information is released. But the challenge is that old information is not just data—it is tied to underlying beliefs about how the world works, about what makes you valuable, and about what constitutes competence.

Experience as a Depreciating Asset

One of the hardest truths for knowledge workers to accept is that professional experience depreciates. Not stories, not judgment, not decision-making frameworks—those retain and even appreciate in value. But the specific tools, techniques, and domain expertise that you rely on daily? Those are depreciating from the moment you acquire them.

> *Nobody buys a car as an investment. It's a depreciating asset—a tool to get you from A to B. I think experience is the same way. The moment you get experience, it's already depreciating. You've got to live the rest of your career in a process of unlearning.*

This does not mean experience is worthless. It means that knowledge workers must continuously assess which parts of their expertise remain essential and which parts have become deadweight. The professional who clings to a certification or a methodology past its useful life is making the same mistake as the investor who refuses to sell a depreciating asset.

Unlearning is a mindset shift, and it is hard. Nobody wants to hear that their expertise is depreciating. But the professionals who thrive in change are the ones who have internalized this reality and built continuous reinvention into their career strategy.

7. Mindset and Belief Change

Carol Dweck's research on fixed versus growth mindsets is well known. But in the context of organizational learning, there is an additional dimension. Ashley Buchanan and Margaret Kern introduced the benefit mindset, which extends growth mindset toward a more caring, inclusive, and interdependent perspective.

- Fixed Mindset: Operating on autopilot, drawing on habitual patterns. "This is how I've always done it."
- Growth Mindset: Considering new approaches, being mindful and open to experimentation. "What if I tried something different?"
- Benefit Mindset: Extending beyond personal growth to consider the wellbeing of the broader system. "How can my growth contribute to others?"

The progression matters for project delivery because lasting behavioral change requires more than just knowledge transfer. It requires belief change. You can train someone on a new system, but if their underlying belief is "the old way worked fine," they will revert at the first sign of trouble.

> *You haven't changed belief. You've just decided we're going to do this. Those behaviors will not change. You haven't changed the beliefs. And if you haven't adjusted their beliefs towards why they should do it differently, it ain't going to happen.*

The implication is clear: change management is people learning. And people learning requires engaging with beliefs, not just behaviors. The most successful change leaders are the ones who invest time in helping people understand why the change matters—not just what they need to do.

8. Psychological Safety: The Precondition for Learning

Amy Edmondson of Harvard Business School defines psychological safety as a shared belief that the team is safe for interpersonal risk-taking. Her research, published in the Administrative Science Quarterly and expanded in her book The Fearless Organization, demonstrates that psychological safety is not a nice-to-have—it is the precondition for learning, innovation, and high performance.

Edmondson's model maps psychological safety against performance standards in a two-by-two matrix:

- High psychological safety + high standards = Learning and high performance zone
- High psychological safety + low standards = Comfort zone
- Low psychological safety + high standards = Anxiety zone
- Low psychological safety + low standards = Apathy zone

For knowledge workers, this framework is essential. You cannot learn when you are afraid of making mistakes. You cannot ask clarifying questions, challenge assumptions, or admit confusion if doing so risks embarrassment or punishment. And yet, these are precisely the behaviors that adult learning requires.

Enabling Psychological Safety in Practice

Edmondson identifies three leadership tasks that create the conditions for psychological safety:

- Setting the Stage: Frame work as a learning problem, not an execution problem. Set expectations that uncertainty is normal and that voice is essential.
- Inviting Participation: Demonstrate situational humility. Ask good questions. Practice intense listening. Create structures and forums for input.
- Responding Productively: Express appreciation when people speak up. Destigmatize failure by looking forward. Discuss next steps rather than assigning blame.

For business analysts and project managers specifically, psychological safety is a practical skill. A good BPA's primary capability should be putting people at ease. The moment you put people at ease, they will communicate with you better, they will train you better, and the quality of the information you gather will improve dramatically.

9. Cognitive Challenges That Block Learning

Even when the environment is safe and the motivation is high, the human brain has built-in tendencies that can derail learning. Daniel Kahneman's work on System 1 and System 2 thinking, documented in Thinking, Fast and Slow, reveals that our brains default to fast, heuristic-based processing to conserve energy—and this default leaves us vulnerable to systematic errors.

System 1 and System 2 Thinking

The brain takes up less than 2% of body mass but can consume up to 20% of the body's energy. To manage this, the brain defaults to System 1 thinking—fast, automatic, and pattern-based. System 1 is efficient, but it works on stereotypical heuristic patterns that leave you vulnerable to repeating the same mistakes and significantly reduces your ability to create and problem-solve.

System 2 thinking—slow, deliberate, analytical—must be consciously activated. It requires effort, it requires proper nutrition, and it requires a mindset commitment to engage. Most knowledge work demands System 2 thinking, yet most of the day is spent in System 1 mode.

Three Biases That Undermine Knowledge Workers

Affective Forecasting Bias. Drawn from behavioral economics, this bias causes us to overestimate the duration and intensity of negative emotional outcomes. We perpetually overestimate the negative. This has a direct impact on how people respond to change—they forecast more pain than the change will actually produce, which drives avoidance and resistance.

Loss Aversion. When the same choice is framed as a loss rather than a gain, people make different decisions. The fear of losing what you have is psychologically more powerful than the potential of gaining something better. This is why people cling to deprecated processes and tools—the loss of the familiar feels worse than the potential benefit of the new.

Confirmation Bias. We have a tendency toward a righteous mind—to hold firmly to what we believe and to selectively process information that confirms our existing views. When we are in this state, our brain only catches that which connects us back to what we already believe. For business analysts and project delivery professionals, confirmation bias is arguably the most dangerous cognitive trap, because it directly undermines the ability to elicit accurate requirements and evaluate options objectively.

10. Emotional Intelligence and Learning

Emotional intelligence—the ability to recognize, understand, and manage your own emotions and those of others—is not a separate discipline from learning. It is the regulatory system that determines whether learning can happen at all.

There are four dimensions to consider:

- Self-Awareness: Understanding your own emotional state and how it affects your thinking and decision-making.
- Self-Management: The ability to regulate your emotions so that you remain in a productive state for learning and problem-solving.
- Situational Awareness: Reading the emotional state of others—recognizing when a stakeholder is stressed, disengaged, or emotionally hijacked.
- Situational Management: Adapting your approach based on what you observe—slowing down when someone is overwhelmed, creating energy when someone is disengaged.

> *You're not going to make any good decisions when you're hijacked. You ain't going to learn anything when you're hijacked. And the same token is understanding when you're seeing those signs in others and being able to pivot.*

For knowledge workers who spend their days in meetings, workshops, and stakeholder conversations, emotional intelligence is not abstract. It is the practical skill of reading a room, knowing when to push and when to ease off, and creating the conditions where other people feel safe enough to share what they actually think.

11. Intellectual Curiosity: The Engine of Growth

If there is a single disposition that ties all of these ideas together, it is intellectual curiosity. Curiosity is what drives the knowledge worker to ask why instead of accepting the first answer. It is what makes unlearning possible, because the curious mind is more interested in what is true than in what is comfortable.

Four habits of the intellectually curious practitioner:

- ASK — Question Everything: Why? What if? How does this actually work? The five whys exist for a reason—depth of understanding is the linchpin to both behavioral change and genuine acceptance.
- LINK — Connect the Dots: See patterns across ideas, fields, and disciplines. The best analysts and project leaders are the ones who can synthesize information from disparate sources into coherent insight.
- OPEN — Embrace Not Knowing: Get comfortable at the edge of your understanding. The professional who admits “I don’t know” is in a stronger position than the one who pretends to know and proceeds on faulty assumptions.
- PULL — Follow the Thread: Chase interesting ideas to see where they lead. Curiosity is not idle wondering—it is a disciplined practice of pursuing questions until they yield insight.

For business analysts in particular, curiosity is not optional. If you do not have a level of curiosity, it is tough to do that job. The best analysts are the ones who come in open, resist the urge to bring preconceived notions, and treat every engagement as an opportunity to learn something they did not expect.

12. Practical Implications for Knowledge Workers

For Individual Practitioners

- Conduct a weekly self-check: What did I learn? What mistakes did I make? Where might confirmation bias be affecting my judgment?
- Build reciprocal relationships with accountability partners who can challenge your thinking without judgment.
- Assess your skill portfolio regularly. Which skills are appreciating? Which are depreciating? Where should you invest your learning time?
- Practice System 2 thinking deliberately. Block time for deep work. Fuel your brain properly. Force yourself out of autopilot.
- Cultivate curiosity as a discipline, not just a personality trait. Ask one uncomfortable question per day.

For Team Leaders and Managers

- Understand that your primary job in change is regulating the tension. Keep people in the productive distress zone—not too comfortable, not too overwhelmed.
- Invest in understanding before action. Help people understand why before you tell them what.
- Create psychological safety by framing work as learning, inviting participation through humility, and responding productively when people speak up.
- Provide multiple pathways for learning. One size does not fit all—some people learn by doing, some by listening, some by reading, some by watching.
- Give people practice time. Stop assuming that people will figure out a new system on their own after go-live.

For Organizations

- Recognize that change management is people learning. If change management is people learning, then you need to understand how people learn.
- Stop over-relying on email and documentation for changes that require understanding. Use face-to-face engagement, coaching, and practice.
- Remind people of available resources. Training budgets, coaching services, tuition reimbursement—these exist in many organizations but are unknown to the people who need them most.

- Build time for curiosity into the work. Google's 10% time was not an indulgence—it was a strategic investment in learning that produced innovation.

13. Conclusion: The Person Doing the Most Talking Is Doing the Most Learning

Sharon Bowman's Training from the Back of the Room methodology captures a fundamental truth about adult learning: the person doing the most talking is doing the most learning. If we want knowledge workers to learn, we need to get them actively engaged—writing, discussing, practicing, teaching—not passively consuming.

The ideas in this paper are not theoretical. They are drawn from decades of research by Knowles, Heifetz, Linsky, Edmondson, Kahneman, Dweck, O'Reilly, and Bowman, combined with hands-on experience delivering learning programs and leading change in complex organizations.

The core argument is simple: in a world of accelerating change, learning is the work. The professionals who understand how they learn, who can regulate the tension of adaptive challenges, who manage their cognitive biases, and who approach their careers with intellectual curiosity will thrive. The ones who rely solely on accumulated expertise and resist the discomfort of unlearning will find that expertise depreciating faster than they can replace it.

The choice is not whether to learn. It is whether to learn deliberately or to be forced into it by circumstances you did not prepare for.

References

Bowman, S. (2009). Training from the BACK of the Room: 65 Ways to Step Aside and Let Them Learn. Pfeiffer.

Buchanan, A. & Kern, M. (2017). The Benefit Mindset: The Psychology of Contribution and Everyday Leadership. International Journal of Wellbeing, 7(1).

Dweck, C. S. (2006). Mindset: The New Psychology of Success. Random House.

Edmondson, A. C. (1999). Psychological Safety and Learning Behavior in Work Teams. Administrative Science Quarterly, 44(2), 350–383.

Edmondson, A. C. (2018). The Fearless Organization: Creating Psychological Safety in the Workplace for Learning, Innovation, and Growth. John Wiley & Sons.

Heifetz, R. A. & Linsky, M. (2002). Leadership on the Line: Staying Alive Through the Dangers of Leading. Harvard Business Review Press.

Heifetz, R. A., Grashow, A. & Linsky, M. (2009). The Practice of Adaptive Leadership: Tools and Tactics for Changing Your Organization and the World. Harvard Business Press.

Kahneman, D. (2011). Thinking, Fast and Slow. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

Knowles, M. S. (1984). Andragogy in Action: Applying Modern Principles of Adult Education. Jossey-Bass.

Knowles, M. S. (1984). The Adult Learner: A Neglected Species (3rd ed.). Gulf Publishing.

O'Reilly, B. (2018). Unlearn: Let Go of Past Success to Achieve Extraordinary Results. McGraw-Hill Education.